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ABSTRACT

Background: Since 1989 when health warning labels appeared on Canadian cigarette packages, the labels have changed from text only covering less than one quarter of the package to text and graphics covering over half the package. This study examines how Canadians in their 20s feel about the current graphic warning labels and their potential to prevent smoking and encourage quitting.

Methods: Participants between 20 and 24 years of age were part of a 10-year cohort study begun when the group was in Grade 6, with the purpose of examining factors that may affect smoking. Five questions about warning labels were added to the 2002 questionnaire requesting information on perceptions of the labels and their potential impact on smoking behaviours of young adults. One item had been included in previous questionnaires.

Results: 32.8% (n=1267) of the respondents were smokers, with males (35.6%) being more likely to smoke than females (30.4%). Current smokers were less likely than experimental/ex-smokers to believe that warning labels with stronger messages would make people their age less likely to smoke. Female current smokers were more likely to think about quitting.

Conclusion: Despite the efforts taken in developing the labels, some young adults are skeptical about their effects. Warning labels may have to be modified to target issues that are relevant to young adults; gender differences are important in this modification. Warning labels can offer an additional component to a comprehensive tobacco control program, in that they provide health information.
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METHODS

Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Western Ontario; 1,409 questionnaires were sent out and 1,270 (90.1%) were returned. Methods for this study. Baseline data for this study were collected.
TABLE I
Statement/Questions About the Warning Labels on Cigarette Packages Implemented in Canada as of June, 2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possible Responses/Level of Agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>People might quit smoking if the warning labels on the packages had a stronger message.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you seen the new warning labels which include pictures?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the new warning labels might make some young people less likely to start smoking?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the new warnings might make some young people more likely to start smoking?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Smokers Only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the new warnings make you think about trying to quit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the past month, has noticing the new warnings led you to decide not to have a cigarette?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIGARETTE WARNING LABELS

592 (46.7%) males and 675 (53.3%) females. The prevalence of current smoking was 32.8%, being higher for males (35.6%) than females (30.4%). For males, 172 (29.1%) were classified as never-smokers, 209 (35.3%) experimental/ex-smokers and 211 (35.6%) current smokers. For females, 226 (33.4%) were never-smokers, 244 (36.2%) experimental/ex-smokers and 205 (30.4%) current smokers.

When examining the relationship between attitude toward, or knowledge of, cigarette package warning labels and smoking status, statistically significant associations were found (Table II). Current smokers were less likely to believe a stronger message on the warning labels would make people their age less likely to smoke as compared to experimental/ex-smokers. Current smokers and experimental/ex-smokers were more likely to have seen the new pictorial warning labels than never-smokers. Experimental/ex-smokers were more likely to endorse the belief that the new warnings might make young people less likely to start smoking than were never- or current smokers. Interestingly, although the actual percent was small (7.5%), current smokers were more likely to support the statement that the new warnings might make young people less likely to start smoking.

Findings from the comparison of male and female responses to the questions on
attitude toward, or knowledge of, cigarette package warning labels revealed gender differences (Table III). Females were significantly less likely to have seen the labels. On the other hand, a small percentage of males were significantly more likely to respond that the new warnings might make some people more likely to start smoking. Female current smokers were significantly more likely to think about trying to quit after viewing the labels than male current smokers. However, no difference was noted among current smokers when asked about whether they decided not to have a cigarette after noticing the warning label. Results from the four logistic regression models revealed that there were no significant interactions of gender with smoking status.

DISCUSSION

While there is a role for communicating health information to smokers through the use of warning labels, their potential impact may be weakened by the fact that the information is sometimes not attended to and/or believed by consumers and the information may not be personally relevant. Fischer et al., using eye tracking, found that half of the adolescents they tested did not even look at the warning labels. Other studies have found that many adolescents do not understand what is written on the warning labels in part because the language was too technical. Several focus groups were conducted with adolescents and adults in Canada, examining reactions to warning labels. In one set of focus groups with Canadian adolescents and young adults who smoked (ages 16-21), many participants said they did not even read the warning messages on the cigarette package, but were aware of their presence. Almost all of the participants said the labels did not motivate them to quit. While non-smokers have demonstrated higher levels of agreement than smokers with the information found on warning labels, it is believed that communicating the potential harm from smoking is an important process for creating informed consumers.

Canadian cigarette manufacturers voluntarily labelled cigarette packages with health warnings and tar concentrations prior to 1989. The 1989 Tobacco Product Control Act allowed the Canadian government to regulate the health information printed on tobacco product packages. Initially the manufacturers were required to display one of four warning messages, on a rotating basis, that covered 20% of the pack and with contrasting colours. Tar concentration and other chemical levels had to be listed on the side panels. Over the following decade, more changes were made to the size, colour and look of the labels.

In 1999, when new labels were being developed, focus groups were conducted with adolescents and young adult smokers in order to determine what warning label information might be relevant for them. While the adolescents in these groups were dismissive about the long-term risks of smoking for their age group, they were concerned about immediate health problems like impaired athletic performance. When participants were asked how the warning labels could be improved, many stated the message should include more information on the toxic ingredients in cigarettes and less information on the health risks of smoking, and that such information should be based on research findings.

The latest Canadian tobacco warnings, implemented in June 2000, cover over 50% of the faces of the packs, contain graphic illustrations targeting specific groups, cover a range of health conditions and information, and include supporting statistics. A Canadian study by Liefeld found that Canadian warning messages on cigarette packages encourage more smokers to stop smoking and deter more non-smokers from starting to smoke. However, despite the care taken to develop the labels, some young adults in this study were skeptical that stronger warnings would encourage others their age to quit. One cannot expect marked reductions in prevalence among young adults from cigarette warning labels. However, warning labels can offer an additional component to a comprehensive tobacco control program targeting young adults in that they provide health information. When given the opportunity to provide comments with regard to the warning labels, many participants wrote that the labels would not deter them or any teenager from smoking. “Teenagers are not going to stop smoking...just because they are bombarded with info, ads, etc. on how smoking can kill them. It’s pointless because they are going to smoke anyway.” “As smokers we already know that smoking is bad for us. If it didn’t stop us then, why do you think that new warning labels with old information will change that? Do you know anyone that didn’t know the hazards of smoking before they started?” Some participants felt that the new warning labels were “funny” and were being “collected and traded like baseball or hockey cards.”

While the young adult smokers in this study were reluctant to acknowledge the influence of warning labels, other data with Canadian adults have documented the potential impact of the labels. Hammond et al. evaluated the impact of the new Canadian labels on adult current smokers. Nine months after introduction of the new labels, 616 smokers completed a baseline telephone survey, with a follow-up survey three months later. At baseline, smokers who read, thought about, and discussed the warnings in greater depth were more likely to intend to quit in the subsequent 6 months. At follow-up, smokers who read, thought about, and discussed the warnings in greater depth at baseline were significantly more likely to report having quit, having attempted to quit, or having reduced their smoking during the study period. O’Hegarty et al. also evaluated the perceptions and reactions of young adults in the US to Canadian and US warning labels to determine their perceptions of the potential impact of these labels on smoking cessation, and to elicit suggestions for modifying the labels for US use. Similar to the findings in the current study, females expressed less skepticism about warning labels than did males.

There are some limitations that should be considered in interpreting the findings from this study. First, the perceptions and attitudes of the individuals in this study may not necessarily be generalizable to all individuals within this age group; compared to 2001 census data for 20-24 year olds, the participants in this study had more unemployed, more attending school, with more males and more never-married individuals. Second, participants were in a restricted age group; therefore the findings may not be applicable to other age groups. Third, smoking may be viewed as socially...
acceptance behaviour among this age group. Young adults may be reluctant to support the use of warning labels or agree that these labels influence them.

Perhaps warning labels should be modified so that they are more relevant to young adults. For this age group, addressing athletic performance, attractiveness to the opposite sex, taking control of addiction and cost may generate greater interest than health conditions that are in the distant future; moreover, any modification should consider gender differences in response to warning labels. Furthermore, warning labels can be incorporated into comprehensive tobacco control efforts and can be a part of anti-smoking campaigns targeting young adults. Possible venues for these campaigns might include bars and nightclubs where young adults frequent and where the tobacco industry readily promotes particular brands of cigarettes and seeks potential consumers.\(^2\)
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**RÉSUMÉ**

**Contexte :** C’est en 1989 qu’est entrée en vigueur l’affichage obligatoire des étiquettes d’avertissement de dangers pour la santé sur les paquets de cigarettes vendus au Canada. Des étiquettes d’avertissement qui ne couvraient au départ que moins du quart de la surface du paquet de cigarettes, occupent maintenant plus de la moitié du paquet et sont assorties d’éléments textuels et graphiques. Cette étude vise à déterminer la perception des Canadiens dans la vingtaine à l’égard des éléments graphiques présents sur les étiquettes d’avertissement actuels et de leur efficacité comme mesure préventive du tabagisme et d’incitation à cesser de fumer.

**Méthodes :** Des personnes de 20 à 24 ans participaient à une étude de cohortes d’une durée de dix ans (qui a débuté alors que le groupe était en sixième année) qui portait sur les facteurs influant sur l’âge. Les enquêtes ont été menées auprès de 967 répondants; les adultes (35,6 %) étaient davantage susceptibles de s’adresser au tabagisme que les femmes (30,4 %). Les fumeurs réguliers étaient plus susceptibles que les personnes qui tentaient l’expérience et celles qui ont cessé de fumer de croire que les étiquettes d’avertissement qui présenteraient des messages plus convaincants auraient un effet éventuel sur les habitudes des mineurs au chapitre du tabagisme. Dans le passé, l’un de ces points avait été intégré aux questionnaires.

**Résultats :** Les fumeurs représentaient 32,8 % (n=1267) des répondants; les hommes (35,6 %) étaient davantage susceptibles de s’adresser au tabagisme que les femmes (30,4 %). Les fumeurs réguliers étaient moins susceptibles que les personnes qui tentaient l’expérience et celles qui ont cessé de fumer de croire que les étiquettes d’avertissement qui présenteraient des messages plus convaincants auraient un effet éventuel sur les habitudes des consommateurs des gens de leur âge. Les fumeurs réguliers étaient plus susceptibles d’envisager de cesser de fumer.

**Conclusion :** Malgré les efforts investis dans la conception d’étiquettes, certains jeunes adultes émettent des doutes quant à l’efficacité d’une telle méthode. On laissait probablement modifier les étiquettes d’avertissement pour mieux cibler les préoccupations des jeunes adultes; au moment de cette modification, on accorderait une place importante aux différences entre la perception des hommes et des femmes. Les étiquettes d’avertissement peuvent constituer un complément à un programme global de lutte contre le tabagisme dans la mesure où elles présentent des renseignements sur la santé.